## NECAP Science Schema for Assessing Inquiry Constructs Within an Inquiry Response (ER) Task

## **Essential Questions:**

- What does it mean to know and do inquiry? (Four broad areas for instruction & assessment of inquiry have been identified.)
- What constitutes evidence of knowing and doing inquiry? (Assessment items/tasks will
  be developed using constructs from each broad area.)
- How can evidence be elicited from students? (Examples demonstrate how these constructs may differ at different grade spans.)
- What valid inferences can we make from the evidence? (What inferences does the test design allow for – in terms of inquiry and content knowledge?)

m. g				
Tri-State Schema for Assessing Inquiry				
Broad Areas of	Formulating	Planning and	Conducting	Developing and
Inquiry to be	Questions &	Critiquing of	Investigations	Evaluating
Assessed	Hypothesizing	Investigations		Explanations
Constructs for	<ol> <li>Analyze</li> </ol>	4. Identify	7. Follow procedures	<ol><li>Analyze data,</li></ol>
each Broad Area	information from	information/evidence	for collecting and	including determining
of Inquiry	observations,	that needs to be	recording qualitative	if data are relevant,
(including intended	research, or	collected in order to	and quantitative data,	artifact, irrelevant, or
DOK Ceiling	experimental data for	answer the question,	using equipment and	anomalous
Levels, based on	the purpose of	hypothesis, prediction	measurement devices	(DOK 2 – specify
Webb Depth of	formulating a question, hypothesis,	(DOK 2 – routine; DOK 3 non-routine/	accurately (DOK 1 – use tools;	relationships between facts;
Knowledge Levels	prediction:	more than one	DOK 1 – use tools; DOK 2 – follow	ordering, classifying
for Science)	(DOK 3)	dependant variable)	procedures; make	data)
ioi scicicc)	1a. Appropriate for	dependant variable)	observations)	uutu)
TT/1	answering with	5. Develop an	obser various)	12. Use evidence to
What is it about	scientific	organized and logical	8. Use accepted	support and justify
the broad area	investigation	approach to	methods for	interpretations and
that we want	1b. For answering	investigating the	organizing,	conclusions or explain
students to know	using scientific	question, including	representing, and	how the evidence
and do?	knowledge	controlling variables	manipulating data	refutes the hypothesis
		(DOK 2 – routine;	(DOK 2 – compare	(DOK 3)
	2. Construct coherent	DOK 3 non-routine)	data; display data)	
	argument in support			13. Communicate
	of a question,	6. Provide reasoning	9. Collect sufficient	how scientific
	hypothesis, prediction	for appropriateness of	data to study question,	knowledge applies to
	(DOK 3) 3. Make and describe	materials, tools, procedures, and scale	hypothesis, relationships	explain results, propose further
	observations in order	used in the	(DOK 2 – part of	investigations, or
	to ask questions,	investigation	following	construct and analyze
	hypothesize, make	(DOK 2)	procedures)	alternative
	predictions related to	(2011 2)	procedures)	explanations
	topic		10. Summarize results	(DOK 3)
	(DOK 2)		based on data	/
			(DOK 2)	

Important DOK NOTES: Assessing combinations of constructs will likely yield a higher DOK ceiling than assessing constructs separately. DOK Level 3 – if constructs are assessed in relation to each other (e.g., Formulating questions AND Planning Investigation 1+4+5+6; or all constructs for Conducting an Investigation 7+8+9+10) = the ceiling DOK = Level 3.

**Ceiling DOK Level 4** = if constructs for all 4 areas - developing a research question, designing and conducting an investigation, drawing conclusions, and communicating results are all included.

From Tri-State Science Test Specifications 6/05